

Combustion Gas Reduction in a Farrowing Barn

Anthony Y. Yang, Jae Hong Park, Richard Gassman, Ralph Altmaier, Samuel Jones, Thomas M. Peters, T. Renée Anthony Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, The College of Public Health, The University of Iowa

Background

Swine workers are at risk of developing respiratory illnesses. Health effects are associated with exposures to mixtures of dust, NH₃, and CO₂. Swine barn contaminant concentrations are highest during the wintertime when there is minimal ventilation.

Sources of CO₂ include swine respiration and gases from heaters. Poorly maintained heaters can also generate CO.

Heaters commonly used in swine barns release combustion products, including CO and CO₂, directly into animal and work spaces.

Although effective control options exist for dust, there are limited options available for gases, particularly CO₂ The question is whether using different heaters can effectively reduce the concentrations of this gas to improve the indoor air guality.

Objectives

- 1. Determine whether wintertime swine barn CO and CO₂ concentrations can be significantly reduced by replacing traditional in-room vented heaters with heaters that vent combustion gases outside
 - Old heater: Guardian 60 (L.B. White Co.)
 - New heater: Effinity 93 (Modine Manufacturing Co.)
- 2. Assess the temporal and spatial variability of contaminants in order to characterize the effect of colder time periods and heater proximity on gas concentrations.
- 3. Evaluate CO₂ production factors in order to compare heater performance between the two winter seasons tested.

Methods

Equipment:

- ToxiRAE Pro CO₂ monitor (Rae Systems, Inc.)
- VRAE multiple gas monitor (Rae Systems, Inc.)

Collection:

- · Deployed at 6 locations, breathing zone height, on three aisles, for 24 hours with 1 min. logging intervals
- Sampled over two winter seasons (December February)

Season 1 (2013-14) - Old heater

Statistical Tests:

- One-way ANOVA: Compare mean combustion gas concentrations by heater type
- Tukey-Kramer: Evaluate differences in concentration across the room and by shift
- · Multiple linear regression: Estimate CO₂ from production factors

Results

Objective 1: Did heater reduce concentrations?



Error bars represent highest and lowest concentrations on each sample day across all positions

Carbon Dioxide:

- Old heater: 100% over limit
- New heater: 25% over limit
- 44% reduction (p < 0.001)



Error bars represent highest and lowest concentrations on each sample day across all positions

Carbon Monoxide:

- Old heater: 100% under limit
- New heater: 100% under limit
- 60% reduction (p < 0.001)

Objective 2: Did heaters affect concentrations by shift or location?

No difference by shift (p > 0.61)

Old heater was associated with spatial differences, but the new heater was not:

- CO₂ highest by open hallway door (old heater in operation) (p < 0.001)
- CO highest by room heater with old heater (p < 0.001)
- No difference by position with new heater (p > 0.22)

Results, continued

Objective 3: Examine CO₂ from pig vs heater The following best-fit models were generated, by heater type: Old: CO₂ (ppm) = 1719 - 36.9 T + 16.8 S + 2.8 P (R² = 0.85) New: CO_2 (ppm) = 483 - 22.4 T + 42.7 S + 5.7 P (R² = 0.75)

T = outdoor temperature (°C) where. S = number of sows P = number of pialets



These equations were used to estimate concentrations for the year the other heater was actually in use (with colder temperatures and more pigs for old heater measurement winter compared to the new heater).

Comparisons between heaters using estimates allowed matching concentration by production factor. From this, CO₂ concentration differences in the room were attributed to:

- Temperature and pig count differences: ~ 200 ppm
- Heater: ~ 800 ppm

Conclusions

CO and CO₂ concentrations were significantly lower with the new heater in operation (p < 0.001).

While CO did not pose health risks, CO2 was consistently over an industry recommended limit with the old heater, but only 25% of the time with the new vented heater.

These findings suggest that the simple replacement of old heaters with new heater technology can substantially improve swine barn conditions and help protect worker health.

Future Research

Future work will assess the longevity of new vented heaters in the swine barn environment.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by NIOSH - the Great Plains Center for Agricultural Health (CDC/NIOSH U54 OH007548) and by the Heartland Center for Occupational Health and Safety (CDC/NIOSH T42 OH008491).



UI COLLEGE OF

PUBLIC HEALTH

DEPT OF OCCUPATIONAL &

MENTAL HEALTH

Season 2 (2014-15) - New heater

4000