

Evaluation of Shaker Dust Collector for Use in a Swine Farrowing Barn

Russell A. Sawvel, Jae Hong Park, Ralph Altmaier, Thomas M. Peters, and T. Renée Anthony

Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, The College of Public Health, The University of Iowa

Test 2

5.6 d

Shaker Cleaning

10 12 14

140

8

6

250

Airflow and pressure drop over time

Test 1

7.1 d

Simulated Davs

4

2

4

2

n

w.g.

.⊑ 3

Pressure Drop,

Filter F

Background

U.S. swine producers use concentrated animal feeding operations with herd sizes of 1,000 to 10,000 swine.

- U.S. swine inventory: 63 million (March, 2014)
- High density of livestock
- Large enclosed buildings
- · Upper Midwest: seasonal cold climate
- Wintertime ventilation rates: low
- · Dust concentrations: elevated in winter
- Agricultural dust inhalation causes respiratory symptoms and allergic reactions

Control methods are needed to reduce dust concentrations below recommended threshold levels.

- Dilution ventilation: cost prohibitive in winter
- · Feed modification: ineffective for non-feed dusts
- Vegetable oil spray: effective but can create a housekeeping burden
- Air cleaner: lab test of shaker dust collector

Objectives

Will the dust collector last all winter in a swine barn?

- Collection efficiency What is the performance for 90 days, continuously at 1 mg/m³
- New filter, loaded filter, after Efficiency changes with shaking (filter-cleaning) cleaning
- How long until filter achieves high Startup requirements collection efficiency
- Loading Time, days at Emission Rate = 0.6 g min⁻¹ Collection efficiency 100 <u>کر</u> 80 Efficie 60 2nd loading cleaning 1st loading Collection 40 After After Before 20 loading Loaded shaking reloading 10 10 10 1

Loading time, days at feed rate = 0.6 g min⁻¹

Results

1000

800

600

400

200

Ω

350

18

16

Ξ

ff3

Exhaust Airflow,

10

Test 3

5.0 d



Airflow reduced from 1000 cfm to 700 cfm with 4" wg pressure from dust build-up on filter

Repeated shaking did not recover significant pressure drop when cleaning

- Filter collection efficiency changed over time New:
- ~27% for 1 µm to 96% for 10 µm particles Loaded with dust:
- > 99% for 1 to 10 µm particles
- After cleaning:
- ~ 91% for 1 µm to ~99% for 10 µm particles Subsequent loading, post cleaning
- > 99% for 1 to 10 µm particles

Easy for Agricultural workers to operate and clean Equipment selected Air Specialists Inc., Cincinnati, OH)

Laboratory tests to simulate swine barn loading

Simulated barn loading with coarse Arizona test dust Feed rate: 0.6 g/min Simulated time:1 day lab = 20 days in a swine barn Three sequential tests were performed

Measured collection efficiency with APS using glass beads (1-10 µm) as challenge aerosol

Cleaning

DEPT OF OCCUPATIONAL & MENTAL HEALTH

UI COLLEGE OF PUBLIC HEALTH

3 cleaning cycles were run after each of the 3 loading tests Pressure drop changes recorded for each



Conclusions

Shaker dust collector has adequate capacity to treat swine barn air continuously over a 3-month period at a dust concentration of 1 mg/m³

- High collection efficiency (>99%) of particles (d_n 1-10 μm) was achieved after 2 days (40 barn-equivalent days)
- High collection efficiency (90-99%) was achieved after shakina

One shaking cycle was sufficient to remove dust from the filter and recover pressure drop

Shaker dust collector with recirculation may be a feasible system to incorporate into agriculture to improve air quality in CAFO

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by CDC/NIOSH Education and Research Center Training Grant, T42OH008491, and the CDC/NIOSH Great Plains Center for Agricultural Health, U54 OH007548.







Off-the shelf unit, sized for Ag farrowing barn

Filtration device (Shaker dust collector, Model 140, United Filter material: polyester sateen weave